Qualification Tests For The Failed Fuel Location System And Heat Transfer System Radioactive Monitor Equipments

G. Vieru

Institute for Nuclear Research 0300 Pitesti ROMANIA

ABSTRACT

This paper describes the experimental tests performed in order to prove the reliability parameters for FFLS and HTSRM equipments manufactured in INR Pitesti, for NPP CANDU Cernavoda. The tests were provided by Technical Specifications and test procedures.

The laboratory tests were performed in such running and environmental conditions that correspond to the real operating ones for the equipments under testing.

A special attention was paid to the accelerated tests (intensive tests), where stress level applied is above the level established by reference condition (stated by design); the acceleration of the operating conditions in the sense of a time compressing may be considered, in the case of a product where it was stated that the reliability parameters depend mainly on the number of the operating cycles, [1, 2].

A comparison, referring to the reliability parameters, between Canadian equipments and INR manufactured equipments is also given.

On the other hand, there are presented reliability improvement measures taken in order to check that the equipments would operate within design specification The results of tests and the conclusions are also shown.

I also mention that this paper is a partial layout of the results of the Scientific Research Contract concluded with IAEA Vienna and completed in the end of 1991, where the author have been Chief Scientific Investigator.

1. INTRODUCTION

The information regarding the reliability of products are obtained, in principal, by following the behavior during the real operation or during the laboratory tests.

Each of these 2 ways presents, in the same way, advantages and limitations. In case of real operation all the phenomena appeared during product operating are recorded, [3].

At the moment of the conclusion formulation these may present just a historical significance, the purpose of the reliability tests being used to improve a performance level of the current manufacturing. To these limitations of the methods

G. Vieru

of the real operation, are added the difficulties connected to accurate acquisition of data or deficiencies of the informational system, [4].

Without excluding these methods which present a lot of disadvantages it is necessary to use the method of the Laboratory tests. During the laboratory tests the samples (systems, modules), are operating in certain conditions close to the real ones, in the NPP, being necessary the existence of special testing devices and qualified personnel, [1, 2, 7, 8].

Considering the nature of the product (e.g. unique, great series), the observation of the behavior and acquisition of necessary information may be organized following different schemes. In every case it is necessary that the operation of records be conceived:

- each product is regarded like a complex system with a hierarchical structure having many subsystem components, pieces, elements, etc.;
- for every product or component element the records of the information, essential to trace-out factors, which concerned their reliability, level.
- observation and recording of information have to be organized based on a certain purpose; considering the analysis and information processing, the decisions regarding the increasing reliability level of the product are grounded; a problem which must be taken into consideration is the organization of laboratory tests, and where necessary a very good practical experience;
- choosing of the essential considered parameter that must determine the reliability at a certain moment;
- establishing of environment and stress (required) conditions that the experiment is running and must take into consideration the practical situations in which the elements will operate.

During the tests a systematic record is necessary which will stay at the final decision of the test, such as:

- the time (beginning of the test, the occurrence of failures, etc.).
- details on the stress and environment conditions. The main parameter of reliability, which will be considered, will be the MTBF. In the following we will assume that the theoretical reliability law will be exponential.

A special attention has been granted to the accelerated tests, where stress level applied to the components is above the level established by reference condition (stated by design).

2. EXPERIMENTAL RELIABILITY DETERMINATION

During carrying -out the laboratory tests for the tested equipments, no failures were recorded. In this situation, the experimental reliability determination parameters was calculated based on the parametric reliability model, following the behavior of certain parameters vs. time, for every equipment taken into consideration, in the testing intervals. The failures occurred during "burn-in" tests were not considered because were repaired, in time, and they were not repeated during reliability tests. The following parameters were measured: the report neutron flux rate vs. neutron flux for FFLS, volumic activities for HTSRM. It was stated that the sum of the determined errors could be assimilated with a straight line, therefore the gaussian character of the repartition was assumed. To establish the defect fractions, the following steps were considered:

- the ranking of the calculated errors values, from minimum to maximum;
- the determination for every "i" values of the repartition function, Yi=(i-1/2) X100/N, where N is the no. of the repeating measurements and "i" is the ranking number;
- graphical representation of the pair values"mi, Yi";
- graphical representation of the envelope curve errors, for every interval;
- the determination of the defect fraction in every interval;

The determined defect fractions were transferred in the Weibull probability paper, and using the graphical formula, β , η and γ factors were determined. To calculate the experimental reliability parameters the following relations were used:

$$R(t) = \exp\left[-\left(\frac{t - \gamma}{\eta}\right)^{\beta}\right] \tag{1}$$

where β , η , γ are the above mentioned parameters graphical determined; MTBF was calculated with the formula:

$$MTBF = \gamma + \eta \cdot \Gamma(\frac{1}{\beta} + 1)$$
 (2)

where $\Gamma(\frac{1}{\beta} + 1)$ is the Euler function, first type.

The hazard rate, z (t), was determined with formula:

$$z(t) = \frac{\beta}{\eta} \cdot (\frac{t - \gamma}{\eta})^{\beta - 1} \tag{3}$$

where: β , η , γ , are specific parameters which can be determined in a graphic mode. Practical example:

For HTSRM the following parameters were determined, as follows:

$$\beta$$
 = 3.2; η = 1,900; γ = 0; Γ = 0.896

MTBF =
$$\gamma + \eta$$
. $\Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{\beta}) = 0 + 1,900 * 0.896*(1 + 0.325) = 1702.4 * 1.03125 = 1756 h$

$$\Sigma \lambda = 569.7 \text{ F} / 10^{6} \text{ h}$$

The activity carried out was focused on experimental reliability determination for the nuclear reactor instrumentation, manufactured in INR Pitesti, as follows:

- Failed Fuel Location System (FFLS);
- Heat Transfer System Radioactivity Monitor (HTSRM);

The reliability tests were performed in two steps: [11,12,13]

- qualification tests:
- intensive tests;

These tests were performed in accordance with the technical specifications for every tested equipment.

Equipments G. Vieru

2.1 Experimental Reliability Tests for Failed Fuel Location System (FFLS)

In a CANDU reactor the purpose of the Failed Fuel Location System (FFLS) is to locate and to find in that channel what particular bundle pair is failed. To do so, D2O samples from each channel are sequentially monitored to detect a comparatively high level of delayed neutron activity.

Qualification (type) tests (according to Technical Specifications) last about 1,268 hr. and the intensive tests, last about 496 Hr. in 12 cycles. Intensive tests were done for approx. 496 hr. within 12 individual cycles, each cycle consisting of 7 automatic scanning. During these scanning the following failures were noticed:

- 1 failure due to locking pin (failure to function);
- 1 failure due to error in positioning of carriage (failure to remain in position).

These events were not the result of an electronic component failure, and were eliminated by increasing the hysteresis of the discriminator that treats transducer head signals, and by providing a constant force on the locking pin coil. These were done by changing a resistor value in the feedback loop of the discriminator. The performance of the reliability test (as shown above) on the FFLS equipment was in accordance with the specific reliability procedure prior approved by Canadian part.

The sum of these failure is less than .1 F*10-6*h-1, and not affects significantly the MTBF value, and also the operation of the tested equipment.

Total test time: 2,016 Hr.; No. of failures: 2

The experimental reliability parameters thus obtained (for non-parametric errors) are given in table no. 1:

Table no.1

(MTR = 2 h)

		(111111 - 211)
	Provided in TS	Experimental
MTBF (hr)	936	1,008
R (10 hr.)	.9836	.990
R (24 hr.)	.974	.976
R (168 hr.)	.835	.846

2.2. Reliability Tests for Heat Transfer System Radioactivity Monitor (HTSRM).

HTSRM is a complex dozimetric equipment, which check the state of the fuel from CANDU reactor by monitoring the fission products. Is an equipment complementary (as function) with FFLS and manufactured to measure the activity of 4 radionuclides (Kr-88, Xe-133, Xe-135, I-131), characteristic for PHWR CANDU.

The reliability test was developed on the first sample of product by operation in laboratory conditions for 1,000 Hr. During the test we noticed no failures, and because of that, processed the parametric defects values, calculating the relative errors on the measured volumic activities, by using Ba-133 source, consisting of 4 pairs of vessels in increasing order of decades.

The displayed values for volumic activities, the activities in the currents and the detector-generated impulses constituted the data bank necessary for reliability performances calculation.

Experimental reliability parameters obtained from the reliability tests allowed the pointing out of the reliability performances of HTSRM product.

The experimental reliability parameters for HTSRM are given below in table no 2:

Table No. 2

MTR = 8 h

	Provided in TS	Experimental
MTBF (hr)	>1,600	1,756
R (24 hr.)	.987	.99
R (600 hr.)	.721	.97
R (1,200 hr.)	.52	.79

3. IMPROVING THE RELIABILITY OF COMPONENTS AND SYSTEMS MANUFACTURED IN ROMANIA (UNDER CONSIDERATION) FOR NPP CERNAVODA BASED ON EXPERIMENTAL RELIABILITY TEST PROGRAM.

The following have been taken into consideration:

- improving the reliability of components and systems manufactured based on experimental test program, [1,3,14,15].
- comparison of the generic data base being used for the PSA of NPP Cernavoda with the specific results of components reliability experimental results, [15, 16, 17].
- the use of short test duration to estimate the performance characteristics of components with respect to actual mission time, [2, 5, 6, 18].

According to the objectives defined above, we reviewed a certain part of projects of the equipments taking into consideration the results of the experimental test program. The improvements are as follows:

- 3.1 **For FFLS** Equipment the Following Reliability Improvements were implemented:
 - modifications of software, in certain parts, to assure a more correct operation of the "watch-dog" and for a better communication between those two microcomputers belonging to the FFLS;
 - improvement of the optical decodification system for carriage positioning (increased accuracy of positioning);
- 3.2 **For HTSRM** Equipment the Following Improvements Were Performed:
 - modification of software in the acquisition system in certain subroutines;

Qualification Tests For The Failed Fuel Location System And Heat Transfer System Radioactive Monitor Equipments G. Vieru

 replacement of the step-by-step motors through direct- current motors for a better accuracy of the collimator positioning and a better operation of the equipment.

Note: A software is subjected to failures at random times caused by errors present in the system. In order to evaluate the software failure phenomenon for FFLS and HTSRM equipments, we applied (partially), the stochastic model, developed and based on a nonhomogeneous Poisson process, because of its applicability for the estimation of parameters when the available data are in the form of times between errors or as number of errors in given time intervals, [19].

The basic model used was:

$$m(t) = a[1-\exp(-bt)] \tag{4}$$

where: a is s-expected number of software errors to be detected, m (t) is the s-expected number of software failures by time t and b is a constant of proportionality.

This method is under developing in INR Pitesti and the results are optimistic and will be presented in a separate paper, under publication.

These reliability improvements measures, together with others taken during the manufacture of the above electronic equipment (use of military components with a lower failure rate, use of 100% screening before mounting, use of "burn-in" for electronic components and for the equipments), have contributed to the reliability parameters increasing, [1, 2, 14] as it can be seen in the comparative Table no 3:

Table no. 3

Table no			
Equipment	FFLS	HTSRM	
A. Failure rate (F/10 ⁶ *hr)			
estimated	1,068.37	625.0	
experimental	992.06	587.4	
B. Reliability (R)			
for 10 (hr).:			
estimated	.9893	*	
experimental	.990	*	
for 24 (hr).:			
- estimated	.974	.987	
- experimental	.976	.99	
for 168 (hr).:			
estimated	.835	*	
experimental	.846	*	
for 600 (hr).:			
estimated	*	.721	
experimental	*	.97	
for 1,20 (hr).			
estimated	*	.52	
experimental	*	.79	
for 1,000 Hr.			
estimated	*	*	
odimatoa			

for 10,000 Hr.			
estimated	*	*	
experimental	*	*	
MTBF (hr.)			
estimated	936	>1,600	
experimental	1,008	1,756	
Availability (A)			
estimated	.9978	.995	
experimental	.998	.9953	

Note: * Not calculated for that interval

4. COMPARISON OF THE GENERIC DATA BASE BEING USED FOR THE PSA OF NPP CERNAVODA WITH THE SPECIFIC RESULTS OF COMPONENTS RELIABILITY PARAMETERS EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A comparison of the generic data base used for our PSA analysis for NPP Cernavoda, with the specific results of experimental reliability results, is shown in Table no. 4:

Table no.4

Equipm.	MTBF (hr)			Reliability		
	TS	Gen.	Ex.	TS	Gen	Ex.
FFLS	*	936	1,008	*	.974	.976
HTSRM	*	>1,600	1,756	*	.721	.970

Note: *Not available from Canadian sources .

These data are used in our PSA Analysis for NPP Cernavoda for Shutdown System no.1 (SDS # 1) and Safety instrumentation, together with other generic data obtained from different sources including Canadian generic reliability data and, especially, IAEA Vienna specific reports.

5. USE OF SHORT TEST DURATION TO ESTIMATE THE PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS OF COMPONENTS WITH RESPECT TO ACTUAL MISSION TIME.

The main reason to estimate the performance characteristics of the equipment with respect to actual mission time (30 years) by use of short test duration was to prove that such nuclear reactor equipment will be able to operate in safe conditions up to the last day of the mentioned lifetime period.

The short tests (intensive tests) were performed for the above mentioned equipment, separately, FFLS and HTSRM.

Procedures for intensive tests were approved by Canadian experts and the background for accelerated thermal aging has been based on Arrhenius law. The duration of test necessary was calculated with respect to the specific activation energies of every electronic component belonging to the reactor instrumentation.

We continue to perform this kind of tests to assure that the data resulted have a sufficient confidence level to be used in our PSA studies for NPP Cernavoda.

Equipments G. Vieru

6. CONCLUSIONS

Reliability data are very important in the Nuclear Power Plant Safety, particularly in Probabilistic Safety Assessment (PSA), [9, 15, 18].

We have not yet at our disposal the plant specific reliability data but only a few from the operating of some Canadian NPP, as far as I know. In the absence of the reliability data obtained from operated experience, for the equipment manufactured in INR (reactor instrumentation) we made the above mentioned laboratory tests.

These tests have the advantage that the failure mechanism can be more easily identified, and testing can be accelerated.

The reliability data obtained were included in INR Reliability Data Bank, to be used for our PSA analysis, [16]. The results obtained ascertain that the tested equipments are reliable, in accordance with design requirements.

REFERENCES:

- 1. CH.EHRENFRIEND, "Reliability Design Handbook" -RDH 376 - Rome Air Development Center, New York, (1979).
- 2. P.K.BIRCH, "Experimental equipment reliability determination", Elektronikcentrallen, Denmark, (1980).
- 3. "Reliability and Maintainability Manual. Process Systems" - AECL Canada, 1(974)
- 4. R.H.MEYERS, "Reliability Engineering for Electronic Systems"
- Coordinated Research Program on "Data Collection and Analysis for PSA"-5. Progress Report for the period 1989 - 1990, IAEA VIENNA (1990).
- 6. Co-ordinated Research Program on "Data Collection and Analysis for PSA"-Progress Report for the period 1988 - 1989, IAEA VIENNA (1989).
- 7. Technical Document on "Evaluation of Reliability of Data Sources" Technical Committee Meeting, IAEA VIENNA (1988).
- G.VIERU, "Technical Guide for calculation reliability parameters of the nuclear 8. reactor instrumentation", Internal Report, INR Pitesti, (1985).
- MARK KARELL (Sweden), GHEORGHE VIERU (Romania), "The Availability 9. for a computer system" - Studsvik (Sweden) Report 81/10, (1981).
- 10. B.STEVENS, "Guide to Reliability Data Collection and Management"-ISPRA Establishment, by (S.P./1.05.E3.86.20).
- 11. NUREG/CR 2815 Vol. 1 Rev. 1 – "Probabilistic Safety Analysis" - Procedures
- 12. B.TOMIC, IAEA-TECDOC -478, "Component Reliability Data for Use in Probability Safety Assessments" - VIENNA, (1988).
- 13. MIL HDBK - 217B/1974, MIL HDBK - 217C/1979
- 14. JOSEPH M. MARRER (Argonne National Laboratory) and JAMES G BECKERLY (U.S.Atomic Energy Commission), U.S. 1974, "Nuclear Power reactor instrumentation systems – handbook", (1974).
- 15. H.P.BALFANZ, 2nd TUV – "Workshop on Living PSA Application", Hamburg, Germany, (1990).
- M.C.CULLINGFORD, S.M.SHAH and J.H.GITTUS, "Implications of 16. Probabilistic Risk Assessment", ELSEVIER APPLIED SCIENCE, LONDON,

Fuelling A Clean Future 9th International CNS Conference on CANDU Fuel And Heat Transfer System Radioactive Monitor Belleville, Ontario, Canada September 18-21, 2005

Qualification Tests For The Failed Fuel Location System Equipments G. Vieru

(1987).

- 17. H.P.BALFANZ – "NPP- Safety indicators Evaluated by the Plant Management Tool -Safety Analysis and Information System- SATS.
- K. POERN, "On Empirical Bayesian Inference Applied to Poisson Probability 18. Models", PhD thesis, Linkoeping, Sweden (1990)
- 19. A.L.GOEL, K.OKUMOTO, "Time -Dependent Error-Detection Rate Model for Software Reliability and Other Performance Measures", IEEE Transactions on Reliability, (1979).